$$\llbracket; \rrbracket(\rho, \mu) = (\rho, \mu)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Pos}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho \neq 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Neg}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\llbracket; \rrbracket(\rho, \mu) = (\rho, \mu)$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Pos}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho \neq 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Neg}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$

// $[\![e]\!]$: evaluation of the expression *e*, e.g. // $[\![x+y]\!] \{x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto -1\} = 6$ // $[\![!(x==4)]\!] \{x \mapsto 5\} = 1$

$$\llbracket; \rrbracket(\rho, \mu) = (\rho, \mu)$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Pos}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho \neq 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Neg}(e) \end{bmatrix}(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) & \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$

//
$$\llbracket e \rrbracket$$
 : evaluation of the expression *e*, e.g.
// $\llbracket x + y \rrbracket \{x \mapsto 7, y \mapsto -1\} = 6$
// $\llbracket !(x == 4) \rrbracket \{x \mapsto 5\} = 1$

$$\llbracket R = e; \rrbracket (\rho, \mu) = (\rho \oplus \{R \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho\}, \mu)$$

// where " \oplus " modifies a mapping at a given argument

$$[[R = M[e];]](\rho, \mu) = (\rho \oplus \{R \mapsto \mu([[e]] \rho))\}, \mu)$$
$$[[M[e_1] = e_2;]](\rho, \mu) = (\rho, \mu \oplus \{[[e_1]] \rho \mapsto [[e_2]] \rho\})$$

$$[x = x + 1;]] (\{x \mapsto 5\}, \mu) = (\rho, \mu)$$
 where:

$$\rho = \{x \mapsto 5\} \oplus \{x \mapsto [[x+1]] \{x \mapsto 5\}\}$$
$$= \{x \mapsto 5\} \oplus \{x \mapsto 6\}$$
$$= \{x \mapsto 6\}$$

A path $\pi = k_1 k_2 \dots k_m$ is a computation for the state **s** if: $s \in def([[k_m]] \circ \dots \circ [[k_1]])$

The result of the computation is:

$$\llbracket \pi \rrbracket \mathbf{s} = (\llbracket k_m \rrbracket \circ \ldots \circ \llbracket k_1 \rrbracket) \mathbf{s}$$

Application:

Assume that we have computed the value of red x + y at program point *u*:



We perform a computation along path π and reach v where we evaluate again x + y ...

Idea:

If *x* and *y* have not been modified in π , then evaluation of x + y at *v* must return the same value as evaluation at *u* :-)

We can check this property at every edge in π :-}

Idea:

If *x* and *y* have not been modified in π , then evaluation of x + y at v must return the same value as evaluation at u :-)

We can check this property at every edge in π :-}

More generally:

Assume that the values of the expressions $A = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ are available at u.

Idea:

If *x* and *y* have not been modified in π , then evaluation of x + y at *v* must return the same value as evaluation at *u* :-) We can check this property at every edge in π :-}

we can check this property at every eage in n

More generally:

Assume that the values of the expressions $A = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ are available at u.

Every edge *k* transforms this set into a set $[[k]]^{\sharp} A$ of expressions whose values are available after execution of *k*...

... which transformations can be composed to the effect of a path $\pi = k_1 \dots k_r$: **k**_1]#

$$\llbracket \pi \rrbracket^{\sharp} = \llbracket k_r \rrbracket^{\sharp} \circ \ldots \circ \llbracket k_1 \rrbracket^{\sharp}$$

The effect $[\![k]\!]^{\sharp}$ of an edge k = (u, lab, v) only depends on the label *lab*, i.e., $[\![k]\!]^{\sharp} = [\![lab]\!]^{\sharp}$

The effect $[\![k]\!]^{\sharp}$ of an edge k = (u, lab, v) only depends on the label *lab*, i.e., $[\![k]\!]^{\sharp} = [\![lab]\!]^{\sharp}$ where:

$$\llbracket \vdots \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = A$$

$$\llbracket Pos(e) \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = \llbracket Neg(e) \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = A \cup \{e\}$$

$$\llbracket x = e; \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = (A \cup \{e\}) \setminus Expr_{x} \quad \text{where}$$

$$Expr_{x} \text{ all expressions which contain } x$$

$$\llbracket x = M[e]; \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = (A \cup \{e\}) \setminus Expr_x$$
$$\llbracket M[e_1] = e_2; \rrbracket^{\sharp} A = A \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$$

$$[[x = M[e];]]^{\sharp} A = (A \cup \{e\}) \setminus Expr_{x}$$
$$[[M[e_{1}] = e_{2};]]^{\sharp} A = A \cup \{e_{1}, e_{2}\}$$

By that, every path can be analyzed :-) A given program may admit several paths :-(For any given input, another path may be chosen :-((

$$[[x = M[e];]]^{\sharp} A = (A \cup \{e\}) \setminus Expr_{x}$$
$$[[M[e_{1}] = e_{2};]]^{\sharp} A = A \cup \{e_{1}, e_{2}\}$$

By that, every path can be analyzed :-) A given program may admit several paths :-(For any given input, another path may be chosen :-((

 \implies We require the set:

 $\mathcal{A}[v] = \bigcap \{ \llbracket \pi \rrbracket^{\sharp \emptyset} \mid \pi : start \to^{*} v \}$

Concretely:

- \rightarrow We consider all paths π which reach v.
- → For every path π , we determine the set of expressions which are available along π .
- \rightarrow Initially at program start, nothing is available :-)
- \rightarrow We compute the intersection \implies safe information

Concretely:

- \rightarrow We consider all paths π which reach v.
- → For every path π , we determine the set of expressions which are available along π .
- \rightarrow Initially at program start, nothing is available :-)
- \rightarrow We compute the intersection \implies safe information

How do we exploit this information ???

Transformation 1.1:

We provide novel registers T_e as storage for the *e*:



Transformation 1.1:

We provide novel registers T_e as storage for the *e*:



... analogously for R = M[e]; and $M[e_1] = e_2$;.

Transformation 1.2:

If *e* is available at program point *u*, then *e* need not be re-evaluated:



We replace the assignment with *Nop* :-)

$$x = y + 3;$$

$$x = 7;$$

$$z = y + 3;$$

$$x = y+3;$$

 $x = 7;$
 $z = y+3;$

$$T = y + 3;$$

$$x = T;$$

$$x = 7;$$

$$T = y + 3;$$

$$z = T;$$

$$x = y + 3;$$

 $x = 7;$
 $z = y + 3;$

$$\begin{array}{c} x = y + 3; \\ x = 7; \\ z = y + 3; \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} y + 3 \\ y + 3 \\ y + 3 \\ y + 3 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} x = 7; \\ y + 3 \\ y + 3 \\ y \\ z = T; \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} x = 7; \\ y + 3 \\ y \\ z = T; \end{array}$$

$$\{y+3\} \qquad T = y+3; x = y+3; x = 7; z = y+3; y+3 y+3 y+3 z = T; z = T; z = T; y+3 y+3 y+3 z = T; z = T$$

Correctness: (Idea)

Transformation 1.1 preserves the semantics and $\mathcal{A}[u]$ for all program points u :-)

Assume π : *start* $\rightarrow^* u$ is the path taken by a computation. If $e \in \mathcal{A}[u]$, then also $e \in [[\pi]]^{\sharp} \emptyset$.

Therefore, π can be decomposed into:



with the following properties:

- The expression *e* is evaluated at the edge *k*;
- The expression *e* is not removed from the set of available expressions at any edge in π₂, i.e., no variable of *e* receives a new value :-)

- The expression *e* is evaluated at the edge *k*;
- The expression *e* is not removed from the set of available expressions at any edge in π₂, i.e., no variable of *e* receives a new value :-)

The register T_e contains the value of e whenever u is reached :-))

Warning:

Transformation 1.1 is only meaningful for assignments x = e; where:

- \rightarrow $x \notin Vars(e);$
- \rightarrow $e \notin Vars;$
- \rightarrow the evaluation of *e* is non-trivial :-}

Warning:

Transformation 1.1 is only meaningful for assignments x = e; where:

- \rightarrow $x \notin Vars(e);$
- \rightarrow $e \notin Vars;$
- \rightarrow the evaluation of *e* is non-trivial :- }

Which leaves us with the following question ...

Question:

How do we compute $\mathcal{A}[u]$ for every program point u ??

Question:

How can we compute $\mathcal{A}[u]$ for every program point u ??

We collect all restrictions to the values of $\mathcal{A}[u]$ into a system of constraints:

$$\mathcal{A}[start] \subseteq \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{A}[v] \subseteq [k]^{\sharp} (\mathcal{A}[u]) \qquad k = (u, _, v) \text{ edge}$$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{0}] \subseteq \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{1}] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{0}] \cup \{\mathbf{1}\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{1}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{4}]$$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



$$\mathcal{A}[0] \subseteq \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[0] \cup \{1\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[4]$$

$$\mathcal{A}[2] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[1] \cup \{x > 1\}$$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



$$\mathcal{A}[0] \subseteq \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[0] \cup \{1\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[4]$$

$$\mathcal{A}[2] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[1] \cup \{x > 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[3] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[2] \cup \{x * y\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



 $\mathcal{A}[0] \subseteq \emptyset$ $\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[0] \cup \{1\}) \setminus Expr_y$ $\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[4]$ $\mathcal{A}[2] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[1] \cup \{x > 1\}$ $\mathcal{A}[3] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[2] \cup \{x * y\}) \setminus Expr_y$ $\mathcal{A}[4] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[3] \cup \{x - 1\}) \setminus Expr_x$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



 $\mathcal{A}[0] \subseteq \emptyset$ $\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[0] \cup \{1\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$ $\mathcal{A}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[4]$ $\mathcal{A}[2] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[1] \cup \{x > 1\}$ $\mathcal{A}[3] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[2] \cup \{x * y\}) \setminus Expr_{y}$ $\mathcal{A}[4] \subseteq (\mathcal{A}[3] \cup \{x - 1\}) \setminus Expr_{x}$ $\mathcal{A}[5] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[1] \cup \{x > 1\}$

- a maximally large solution (??)
- an algorithm which computes this :-)



Solution:

$$\mathcal{A}[0] = \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{A}[1] = \{1\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[2] = \{1, x > 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[3] = \{1, x > 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[4] = \{1\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[5] = \{1, x > 1\}$$

Observation:

• The possible values for $\mathcal{A}[u]$ form a complete lattice:

$$\mathbb{D} = 2^{Expr}$$
 with $B_1 \sqsubseteq B_2$ iff $B_1 \supseteq B_2$

Observation:

• The possible values for $\mathcal{A}[u]$ form a complete lattice:

 $\mathbb{D} = 2^{Expr}$ with $B_1 \sqsubseteq B_2$ iff $B_1 \supseteq B_2$

• The functions $\llbracket k \rrbracket^{\sharp} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ are monotonic, i.e., $\llbracket k \rrbracket^{\sharp}(B_1) \sqsubseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket^{\sharp}(B_2)$ iff $B_1 \sqsubseteq B_2$

Background 2: complete Lattices

A set \mathbb{D} together with a relation $\sqsubseteq \subseteq \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ is a partial order if for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{D}$,

 $a \sqsubseteq a$ reflexivity $a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq a \implies a = b$ anti-symmetry $a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq c \implies a \sqsubseteq c$ transitivity

Examples:

1. $\mathbb{D} = 2^{\{a,b,c\}}$ with the relation " \subseteq ":



- 3. \mathbb{Z} with the relation "=" :
 - · · · · -2 · -1 0 1 2 · · ·
- 3. \mathbb{Z} with the relation " \leq " :



4. $\mathbb{Z}_{\perp} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp\}$ with the ordering:

••• -2 -1

0 1 2 · · ·

$d \in \mathbb{D}$ is called upper bound for $X \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ if

 $x \sqsubseteq d$ for all $x \in X$

 $d \in \mathbb{D}$ is called upper bound for $X \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ if

 $x \sqsubseteq d$ for all $x \in X$

d is called least upper bound (lub) if

1. *d* is an upper bound and

2. $d \sqsubseteq y$ for every upper bound y of X.

 $d \in \mathbb{D}$ is called upper bound for $X \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ if

 $x \sqsubseteq d$ for all $x \in X$

d is called least upper bound (lub) if

1. *d* is an upper bound and

2. $d \sqsubseteq y$ for every upper bound y of X.

Warning:

- $\{0, 2, 4, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ has no upper bound!
- $\{0, 2, 4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ has the upper bounds $4, 5, 6, \ldots$